

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional) Proposed Revision <input type="text"/> Nonofficial Publications and Oral Presentations by Employees and Former Employees (Job #238)					STAT
FROM: <input type="text"/> EO/DDA 7D-18 Hqs.		EXTENSION <input type="text"/>	NO. DDA 83-0231/1		STAT
			DATE 25 January 1983		STAT
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED			
1. C/RCD 1105 Ames				<p>DDA REGISTRY FILE: 1-2</p> <p>I've attached a note which the DDA has forwarded to me to give you the "flavor" of his sentiments regarding the signing off of regulatory issuances. He believes (and I agree) that the DDA is the <u>administrator</u> of the Agency and, as such, he is responsible for the appropriate approval of Agency regs. Moreover, as he indicates, the DDCI/DCI are too busy to be bothered with what, in essence, is his responsibility. Under these circumstances, the DCI/DDCI should be asked to sign regs only under extraordinary circumstances, regardless of the views of the originator. Please change the attached <input type="text"/> to the DDA's signature. Thanks.</p> <p><input type="text"/></p> <p>Atts</p> <p>Distribution: 0 - C/RCD w/atts 1 - DDA Subj w/atts 1 - EO Chrono w/att(note only) EO/DDA, <input type="text"/> 25Jan83)</p>	STAT
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					


25 JAN 1983



STAT

I have studied
this reg. and see
no difference in it
and others we sign
every day. Why should
the DDCT be devised
this extra work -
he has enough paper?

P.S



Just because EXA ~~Twonell~~
his signature doesn't cut any ice with

STAT